arXiv:0812.5016v1 [math.FA] 30 Dec 2008

NEARLY GENERALIZED JORDAN DERIVATIONS

M. ESHAGHI GORDJI AND N. GHOBADIPOUR

ABSTRACT. Let A be an algebra and let X be an A-bimodule. A $\mathbb{C}-$linear mapping $d : A \to X$ is called a generalized Jordan derivation if there exists a Jordan derivation (in the usual sense) $\delta : A \to X$ such that $d(a^2) = ad(a) + \delta(a)a$ for all $a \in A$. The main purpose of this paper to prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability and superstability of the generalized Jordan derivations.

1. INTRODUCTION

We say a functional equation (ξ) is stable if any function g satisfying the equation (ξ) approximately is near to a true solution of (ξ). The equation (ξ) is called superstable if every approximate solution of (ξ) is an exact solution. It seems that the stability problem of functional equations had been first raised by Ulam (cf. [16]): Let $(G_1, .)$ be a group and let $(G_2, *)$ be a metric group with the metric $d(., .)$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, dose there exist a $\delta > 0$, such that if a mapping $h : G_1 \longrightarrow G_2$ satisfies the inequality $d(h(x.y), h(x) * h(y)) < \delta$ for all $x, y \in G_1$, then there exists a homomorphism $H : G_1 \longrightarrow G_2$ with $d(h(x), H(x)) < \epsilon$ for all $x \in G_1$? In the other words, Under what condition dose there exists a homomorphism near an approximate homomorphism? The concept of stability for functional equation arises when we replace the functional equation by an inequality which acts as a perturbation of the equation. In 1941, D. H. Hyers [7] gave a first affirmative answer to the question of Ulam for Banach spaces. Let $f : E \longrightarrow E'$ be a mapping between Banach spaces such that

$$\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \delta$$

for all $x, y \in E$, and for some $\delta > 0$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $T : E \longrightarrow E'$ such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \delta$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 39B82; Secondary 39B52, 46H25.

Key words and phrases. Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability; generalized derivation; generalized Jordan derivation; Banach algebra.

for all $x \in E$. Now assume that E and $E^{'}$ are real normed spaces with $E^{'}$ complete, $f : E \rightarrow E^{'}$ is a mapping such that for each fixed $x \in E$ the mapping $t \rightarrowtail f(tx)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}$, and that there exist $\delta \geq 0$ and $p \neq 1$ such that

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \delta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

for all $x, y \in E$. It was shown by Rassias [13] for $p \in [0, 1)$ (and indeed $p < 1$) and Gajda [4] following the same approach as in [13] for $p > 1$ that there exists a unique linear map $T : E \rightarrow E^{'}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \frac{2\delta\|x\|^p}{|2^p - 2|}$$

for all $x \in E$. This phenomenon is called Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability. it is shown that there is no analogue of Rassias' result for $p = 1$ (see [4, 13]).

In 1994, a generalization of the Rassias' theorem was obtained by Găvruta as follows [5]. Suppose (G,+) is an abelian group, E is a Banach space, and that the so-called admissible control function $\varphi : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x, y) := 2^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \varphi(2^n x, 2^n y) < \infty$$

for all $x, y \in G$. If $f : G \rightarrow E$ is a mapping with

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in G$, then there exists a unique mapping $T : G \rightarrow E$ such that $T(x+y) = T(x) + T(y)$ and $\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \tilde{\varphi}(x, x)$ for all $x, y \in G$.

Since then several stability problems of various functional equations have been investigated by many mathematicians. The reader is referred to [2, 13] for a comprehensive account of the subject.

Generalized derivations and generalized Jordan derivations first appeared in the context of operator algebras [8]. Later, these were introduced in the framework of pure algebra [3, 6].

Definition 1.1. Let A be an algebra and let X be an A-bimodule. A linear mapping $d : A \rightarrow X$ is called a generalized derivation if there exists a derivation (in the usual sense) $\delta : A \rightarrow X$ such that $d(ab) = ad(b) + \delta(a)b$ for all $a, b \in A$.

Every right multiplier is a generalized derivation.

Definition 1.2. [3] Let A be an algebra and let X be an A-bimodule. A linear mapping $d : A \rightarrow X$ is called a generalized Jordan derivation if there exists a Jordan derivation (in the usual sense) $\delta : A \rightarrow X$ such that $d(a^2) = ad(a) + \delta(a)a$ for all $a \in A$.

The stability of derivations was studied by Park in [12]. M. Moslehian [10] investigated the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of generalized derivations from a unital normed algebra A to a unit linked Banach A-bimodule.

In this paper, we investigate the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability and moreover prove superstability of generalized Jordan derivations.

2. MAIN RESULT

In this section, we investigate Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of generalized Jordan derivations from a unital Banach algebra to a unit linked Banach A-bimodule and use some ideas of [10, 12].

Throughout this section, assume that A is a unital Banach algebra and, let X be unit linked Banach A-bimodule.

We need the following lemma in the main results of the present paper.

Lemma 2.1. [11] *Let* U, V *be linear spaces and let* $f : U \to V$ *be an additive mapping such that* $f(\lambda x) = \lambda f(x)$ *for all* $x \in U$ *and all* $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^1 := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \ ; |\lambda| = 1\}$. *Then the mapping* f *is* $\mathbb{C}$*-linear.*

We start our work with a result concerning the superstability of the generalized Jordan derivations as follows.

Theorem 2.2. *Let* $p < 1$ *and* θ *be nonnegative real numbers. Suppose* $f : A \to X$ *is a mapping with* $f(0) = 0$ *for which there exists a map* $g : A \to X$ *with* $g(0) = g(1) = 0$ *such that*

$$\|f(a + \lambda b + c^2) - f(a) - \lambda f(b) - cf(c) - g(c)c\| \leq \theta \|f(c)\|, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\|g(\lambda ab + \lambda c) - \lambda a g(b) - \lambda g(a) b - \lambda g(c)\| \leq \theta(\|a\|^p + \|b\|^p + \|c\|^p) \tag{2.2}$$

for all $a, b, c \in A$ *and all* $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^1$. *Then* $f : A \to X$ *is a generalized Jordan derivation.*

Proof. Letting $c = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$ in (2.1), we get

$$f(a + b) = f(a) + f(b)$$

for all $a, b \in A$. So f is additive.

Letting $a = c = 0$ in (2.1), we get $f(\lambda b) = \lambda f(b)$ for all $b \in A$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^1$. By Lemma 2.1, the mapping f is $\mathbb{C}$-linear.

Putting $a = b = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$ in (2.1), we get

$$\|f(c^2) - cf(c) - g(c)c\| \leq \theta \|f(c)\| \tag{2.3}$$

for all $c \in A$. Replacing c by $2^n c$ in (2.3) we obtain

$$\|f(2^{2n}c^2) - 2^n c f(2^n c) - 2^n g(2^n c)c\| \le \theta \|f(2^n c)\|,$$

whence

$$\|2^{-2n} f(2^{2n}c^2) - 2^{-n} c f(2^n c) - 2^{-n} g(2^n c)c\| \le 2^{-2n}\theta \|f(2^n c)\|$$

for all $c \in A$. Hence

$$\|f(c^2) - c f(c) - 2^{-n} g(2^n c)c\| \le 2^{-n}\theta \|f(c)\| \tag{2.4}$$

for all $c \in A$.
Let n tend to ∞ in (2.4). Then

$$f(c^2) = c f(c) + \lim_{n\to\infty} 2^{-n} g(2^n c)c$$

for all $c \in A$. By Hyers' Theorem, the sequence $\{2^{-n} g(2^n c)\}$ is convergent. Set $\delta(c) := \lim_{n\to\infty} 2^{-n} g(2^n c)$ for all $c \in A$. Hence

$$f(c^2) = c f(c) + \delta(c)c \tag{2.5}$$

for all $c \in A$.
Next we claim that δ is a Jordan derivation. Putting $b = 1$ and replacing a, c by $2^n a, 2^n c$, respectively, in (2.2), we get

$$\|g(2^n(\lambda a + \lambda c)) - \lambda g(2^n a) - \lambda g(2^n c)\| \le \theta(\|2^n a\|^p + \|2^n c\|^p + 1),$$

whence

$$2^{-n}\|g(2^n(\lambda a + \lambda c)) - \lambda g(2^n a) - \lambda g(2^n c)\| \le 2^{-n}\theta(\|2^n a\|^p + \|2^n c\|^p + 1) \tag{2.6}$$

for all $a, c \in A$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^1$. Let n tend to ∞ in (2.6). Then

$$\delta(\lambda a + \lambda c) = \lambda \delta(a) + \lambda \delta(c) \tag{2.7}$$

for all $a, c \in A$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^1$. Hence by Lemma 2.1 δ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear. Now, letting $c = 0$, $\lambda = 1$ and replacing b by a in (2.2), we get

$$\|g(a^2) - a g(a) - g(a)a\| \le 2\theta \|a\|^p$$

for all $a \in A$. Replacing a by $2^n a$ in above inequality, we get

$$\|g(2^{2n}a^2) - 2^n a g(2^n a) - 2^n g(2^n a)a\| \le 2\theta \|2^n a\|^p \tag{2.8}$$

for all $a \in A$. Thus

$$\|2^{-2n} g(2^{2n}a^2) - 2^{-n} a g(2^n a) - 2^{-n} g(2^n a)a\| \le \theta 2^{n(p-2)} \|a\|^p \tag{2.9}$$

for all $a \in A$. Hence by letting $n \to \infty$ in (2.9), we conclude that $\delta(a^2) = a\delta(a) + \delta(a)a$ for all $a \in A$. It then follows from (2.5) that f is a generalized Jordan derivation. □

Theorem 2.3. *Let $p > 1$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers. Suppose $f : A \to X$ is a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ for which there exists a map $g : A \to X$ with $g(0) = g(1) = 0$ such that*

$$\|f(a + \lambda b + c^2) - f(a) - \lambda f(b) - cf(c) - g(c)c\| \leq \theta\|f(c)\|,$$

$$\|g(\lambda ab + \lambda c) - \lambda ag(b) - \lambda g(a)b - \lambda g(c)\| \leq \theta(\|a\|^p + \|b\|^p + \|c\|^p)$$

for all $a, b, c \in A$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^1$. Then $f : A \to X$ is a generalized Jordan derivation.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2. □

Now we prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of generalized Jordan derivations.

Theorem 2.4. *Suppose $f : A \to X$ is a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ for which there exist a map $g : A \to X$ with $g(0) = g(1) = 0$ and a function $\varphi : A \times A \times A \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\max\{\|f(\lambda a + \lambda b + c^2) - \lambda f(a) - \lambda f(b) - cf(c) - g(c)c\|, \\ &\quad \|g(\lambda ab + \lambda c) - \lambda ag(b) - \lambda g(a)b - \lambda g(c)\|\} \leq \varphi(a, b, c), \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

$$\tilde{\varphi}(a, b, c) := 2^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-i} \varphi(a, b, c) < \infty \tag{2.11}$$

for all $\lambda \in A$ and all $a, b, c \in A$. Then there exists a unique generalized Jordan derivation $d : A \to X$ such that

$$\|f(a) - d(a)\| \leq \tilde{\varphi}(a, a, 0) \tag{2.12}$$

for all $a \in A$.

Proof. By (2.10) we have

$$\|f(\lambda a + \lambda b + c^2) - \lambda f(a) - \lambda f(b) - cf(c) - g(c)c\| \leq \varphi(a, b, c), \tag{2.13}$$

$$\|g(\lambda ab + \lambda c) - \lambda ag(b) - \lambda g(a)b - \lambda g(c)\|\} \leq \varphi(a, b, c) \tag{2.14}$$

for all $a, b, c \in A$. Setting $c = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$ in (2.13), we have

$$\|f(a + b) - f(a) - f(b)\| \leq \varphi(a, b, 0) \tag{2.15}$$

for all $a, b \in A$. Now we use the Rassias method on inequality (2.15) (see [5, 9]). One can use induction on n to show that

$$\|2^{-n} f(2^n a) - f(a)\| \leq 2^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^{-i} \varphi(2^i a, 2^i a, 0) \tag{2.16}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $a \in A$, and that

$$\|2^{-n}f(2^na) - 2^{-m}f(2^ma)\| \leq 2^{-1}\sum_{i=m}^{n-1} 2^{-i}\varphi(2^ia, 2^ia, 0) \tag{2.17}$$

for all $n > m$ and all $a \in A$. It follows from the convergence (2.11) that the sequence $2^{-n}f(2^na)$ is Cauchy. Due to the completeness of X, this sequence is convergent. Set

$$d(a) := \lim_{n\to\infty} 2^{-n}f(2^na). \tag{2.18}$$

Putting $c = 0$ and replacing a, b by $2^na, 2^nb$, respectively, in (2.13), we get

$$\|2^{-n}f(2^n(\lambda a + \lambda b)) - 2^{-n}\lambda f(2^na) - 2^{-n}\lambda f(2^nb)\| \leq 2^{-n}\varphi(2^na, 2^nb, 0) \tag{2.19}$$

for all $a, b \in A$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^1$. Taking the limit as $n \to \infty$ we obtain

$$d(\lambda a + \lambda b) = \lambda d(a) + \lambda d(b)$$

for all $a, b \in A$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}^1$. So by Lemma 2.1, the mapping d is $\mathbb{C}$-linear. Moreover, it follows from (2.16) and (2.18) that $\|f(a) - d(a)\| \leq \tilde{\varphi}(a, a, 0)$ for all $a \in A$. it is known that the additive mapping d satisfying (2.12) is unique [1]. Putting $\lambda = 1, a = b = 0$, and replacing c by 2^nc in (2.13), we get

$$\|f(2^{2n}c^2) - 2^ncf(2^nc) - 2^ng(2^nc)c\| \leq \varphi(0, 0, 2^nc), \tag{2.20}$$

whence

$$\|2^{-2n}f(2^{2n}c^2) - 2^{-n}cf(2^nc) - 2^{-n}g(2^nc)c\| \leq 2^{-2n}\varphi(0, 0, 2^nc) \tag{2.21}$$

for all $c \in A$. By (2.18), $\lim_{n\to\infty} 2^{-2n}f(2^{2n}a) = d(a)$ and by the convergence of series (2.11), $\lim_{2^{-2n}} \varphi(0, 0, 2^nc) = 0$. Hence the sequence $2^{-n}g(2^nc)$ is convergent. Set $\delta(c) := \lim_{n\to\infty} 2^{-n}g(2^nc)$ for all $c \in A$. Let n tend to ∞ in (2.21). Then

$$d(c^2) = cd(c) + \delta(c)c. \tag{2.22}$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2. $\square$

Corollary 2.5. *Suppose $f : A \to X$ is a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ for which there exist constant $\theta \geq 0$, $p < 1$ and a map $g : A \to X$ with $g(0) = g(1) = 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\max\{\|f(\lambda a + \lambda b + c^2) - \lambda f(a) - \lambda f(b) - cf(c) - g(c)c\|, \\ &\qquad \|g(\lambda ab + \lambda c) - \lambda ag(b) - \lambda g(a)b - \lambda g(c)\| \leq \theta(\|a\|^p + \|b\|^p + \|c\|^p) \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

for all $\lambda \in A$ and all $a, b, c \in A$. Then there exists a unique generalized Jordan derivation $d : A \to X$ such that

$$\|f(a) - d(a)\| \leq \frac{\theta\|a\|^p}{1 - 2^{p-1}} \tag{2.24}$$

for all $a \in A$.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.4 by Putting $\varphi(a,b,c) = \theta(\|a\|^p + \|b\|^p + \|c\|^p)$. $\square$

Theorem 2.6. *Suppose $f : A \to X$ is a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ satisfying (2.13) for which there exist a map $g : A \to X$ with $g(0) = g(1) = 0$ satisfying (2.14) and a function $\varphi : A \times A \times A \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that*

$$\tilde{\varphi}(a,b,c) := 2^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} \varphi(2^{-i}a, 2^{-i}b, 2^{-i}c) < \infty \tag{2.25}$$

for all $a,b,c \in A$. Then there exists a unique generalized Jordan derivation $d : A \to X$ such that

$$\|f(a) - d(a)\| \leq \tilde{\varphi}(a,a,0) \tag{2.26}$$

for all $a \in A$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.4. $\square$

Corollary 2.7. *Suppose $f : A \to X$ is a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ satisfying (2.23) for which there exist constant $\theta \geq 0$, $p > 1$ and a map $g : A \to X$ with $g(0) = g(1) = 0$ satisfying (2.23). Then there exists a unique generalized Jordan derivation $d : A \to X$ such that*

$$\|f(a) - d(a)\| \leq \frac{\theta \|a\|^p}{2^{p-1} - 1}$$

for all $a \in A$.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.6 by putting $\varphi(a,b,c) = \theta(\|a\|^p + \|b\|^p + \|c\|^p)$. $\square$

Corollary 2.8. *Suppose $f : A \to X$ is a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ for which there exist constant $\theta \geq 0$ and a map $g : A \to X$ with $g(0) = g(1) = 0$ such that*

$$\begin{gathered}\max\{\|f(\lambda a + \lambda b + c^2) - \lambda f(a) - \lambda f(b) - cf(c) - g(c)c\|,\\ \|g(\lambda ab + \lambda c) - \lambda a g(b) - \lambda g(a) b - \lambda g(c)\|\} \leq \theta\end{gathered}$$

for all $\lambda \in A$ and all $a,b,c \in A$. Then there exists a unique generalized Jordan derivation $d : A \to X$ such that

$$\|f(a) - d(a)\| \leq \theta$$

for all $a \in A$.

Proof. Letting $p = 0$ in Corollary (2.5), we obtain the result. $\square$

REFERENCES

[1] C. Baak and M. S. Moslehian, On the stability of J^*-homomorphism, *Nonlinear Analysis-Theory, Methods & Applications* 63(2005), no. 1, 42-48.

[2] S. Czerwik (ed.), Stability of Functional Equations of Ulam-Hyers-Rassias Type, *Hadronic Press, Florida,* 2003.

[3] W. Feng and X. Zhankui, Generalized Jordan derivations on semiprime rings, *Demonstratio Math.* 40 (2007), no. 4, 789–798.

[4] Z. Gajda, On stability of additive mappings, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 14 (1991), 431-434.

[5] P. Găvruta, A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 184 (1994), 431-436.

[6] B. Hvala, Generalazed derivations, *Communications in Algebra* 26 (1998), no. 4, 1147-1166.

[7] D. H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 27 (1941), 222-224.

[8] M. Mathieu (ed.), Elementary Operators & Applications, World Scientific, New Jersey, 1992, *Proceedings of the International Workshop.*

[9] M. S. Moslehian, Approximately vanishing of topological cohomology groups, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 318 (2006), no. 2, 758-771.

[10] M. S. Moslehian, Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of generalized derivations, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* vol (2006), 1-8, Article ID 93942.

[11] C. Park, Homomorphisms between Poisson JC*-algebras, *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc.* 36 (2005), 79-97.

[12] C.- G. Parc, Lie *-homomorphisms between Lie C*-algebras and Lie *-derivations on Lie C*-algebras, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 293 (2004), no. 2, 419-434.

[13] Th. M. Rassias, (ed.), Functional Equations, *Inequalities and Applications,* Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2003.

[14] Th. M. Rassias, and Sĕmrl, On the behavior of mapping which do not satisfy Hyers-Ulam stability, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 114 (1992), no. 4, 989-993.

[15] Th. M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 72 (1978), 297-300.

[16] S. M. Ulam, Problems in Modern Mathematics (Chapter VI, Some Questions in Analysis: 1, Stability), Science Editions, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1964.
20, 3347–3350. 16W25 (16N60)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SEMNAN UNIVERSITY, P. O. BOX 35195-363, SEMNAN, IRAN
E-mail address: `madjid.eshaghi@gmail.com and ghobadipour.n@gmail.com`